

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/623,924 07/21/2003 Charles D Linder 16925 5375 **EXAMINER** 26637 7590 11/24/2004 CNH AMERICA LLC PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 700 STATE STREET RACINE, WI 53404 3671

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary					
		10/623,924		LINDER, CHARLES D	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	T. MAN DIO DATE (M:	Meredith C Petravick	3671	dd aga	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ R	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 September 2004.				
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
• -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	 Claim(s) 1,3-5,7 and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-5,7 and 8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)		
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date		
3) Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/623,924

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balmer in view of the Metalforming article and Metal Spinning article.

Balmer discloses the structure of the claimed transition section (33). The transition section is a unitary metal sheet that is smooth and seamless (Fig. 2) and is in a frusto concial shape (Fig. 4). However, Balmer does not disclose the process by which the transition section is made.

The Metal Forming Article teaches that structural components of agricultural combines can be formed by spinners (Page 21, last column, line 30-42) and that is it is desirable to make parts cheaper (Page 11, fist column line 24 - second column line 4).

The Metal Spinning Article teaches that spinning is a cost effective means of fabricating conical parts. It states, "However, with the introduction of automatic lathes, spinning is now a cost effective option for both medium and high volume production. (Page 1, lines 9-10)" The spinning process hardens the material.

Given the teachings of the Metal Forming Article, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the transition section

Application/Control Number: 10/623,924

Art Unit: 3671

of Balmer by spinning as taught in the Metal Spinning Article as being a cost effective means for forming conical shapes.

Regarding claim 3, the transition section includes an annular lip (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 4, Balmer does not disclose the thickness of the metal sheet being 4 mm.

The dimension of the metal sheet is an obvious design choice. The specification states, "These dimensions are representative of a wide variety that can be employed. (Page 8, line 12-14)"

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/7/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with regard to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) above.
- 4. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the suggestion to combine comes from the Metal Forming Article, which teaches that it is desirable to produce parts cheaply and the Metal Spinning Article, which teaches that spinning is a cost effective method of producing conical parts.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,924

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meredith C Petravick whose telephone number is 703-305-0047. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 a.m.- 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Meredith C Petravick

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3671

November 22, 2004